



GCSE

History B (Schools history project)

Unit **J411/13**: The People's Health, c.1250 to present with Britain in Peace and War, 1900–1918

General Certificate of Secondary Education

Mark Scheme for June 2018

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2018

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Annotations

Stamp	Annotation Name	Description
	Tick 1	Level 1
	Tick 2	Level 2
	Tick 3	Level 3
	Tick 4	Level 4
	Tick 5	Level 5
	Tick 6	Level 6
	SEEN	Noted but no credit given
	NAQ	Not answered question
	Wavy Line	Development / Evidence / Support of valid point
	BP	Blank page

Section A: The People's Health, c.1250 to present

Question 1–3 marks (a) Give one example of what medieval people thought caused the Black Death. (b) Name one response of the government to the gin craze in the period 1660-1751. (c) Name one individual who had a positive impact on public health in the nineteenth century.	
Guidance	Indicative content
1(a) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	<i>For 1(a), likely valid responses include: punishment from God; movement of planets; miasma; eye contact; humours out of balance</i>
1(b) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	<i>For 1(b), likely valid responses include: banned imports of gin; Gin Acts passed (1729, 1736, 1743, 1751); distillers had to pay a tax; sellers had to buy a licence; restricted sale of gin to only alehouses; imprisonment; whipping; transportation</i>
1(c) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	<i>For 1(c) likely valid responses include: Edwin Chadwick; Dr. John Snow; Joseph Bazalgette; Louis Pasteur; Dr. Robert Baker; William Farr; Disraeli; John Simon</i> Any other historically valid response is acceptable and should be credited.

NOTES

1a Important to stress that question asks about what medieval people thought so 'modern' explanations not valid

1b To consider - *imprisonment; whipping; transportation* – are these specific to the gin craze?

1c Make clear that name only is required – also need to be consistent eg is 'Chadwick' acceptable?

Question 2–9 marks Write a clear and organised summary that analyses people's lifestyles since 1900. Support your summary with examples.	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 6 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 3 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates a well-selected range of valid knowledge of characteristic features that are fully relevant to the question, in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). The way the summary is organised shows sustained logical coherence, demonstrating clear use of at least one second order concept in finding connections and providing a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).	<i>Answers should show connections in the situation defined in the question and use these to organise the answer logically. Answers could consider aspects of one or more of: effects of the depression in the 30s; rationing and effect on diet during WW2; changes in lifestyles: inactivity caused by cars and transport, TV and tablets, remote controls, watching rather than doing sport, robots used in factories; quality of air affected by cars and exhaust fumes; improved standards of housing; changes in food and eating habits – tinning, supermarkets, refrigeration, foreign food, microwave food, processed food, less fresh food.</i> <i>Use of conceptual understanding to organise the response might in this case involve change, cause and consequence or consider different aspects of lifestyles in order to structure the response</i> <i>Answers may show use of second order concepts such as change and continuity; cause and consequence and significance</i> <i>Please note that answers do not need to name the second order concepts being used to organise their answer, but the concepts do need to be apparent from the connections and chains of reasoning in the summary in order to meet the AO2 descriptors (see levels descriptors).</i> <i>No reward can be given for wider knowledge of the period that is unrelated to the topic in the question.</i>
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates a range of knowledge of characteristic features that are relevant to the question, in ways that show understanding of them (AO1). The way the summary is organised shows some logical coherence, demonstrating use of at least one second order concept in finding connections and providing a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of characteristic features with some relevance to the question, in ways that show some limited understanding of them (AO1). The summary shows a very basic logical coherence, demonstrating limited use of at least one second order concept in attempting to find connections and to provide a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 2–9 marks Write a clear and organised summary that analyses people’s lifestyles since 1900. Support your summary with examples.	
Guidance and indicative content General Note: No requirement to write a narrative which covers the 20th century comprehensively	
Level 3 (7–9 marks)	Answers at L3 will typically be organised around a second order concept such as causes, effects, change/continuity, and significance. Answers will be supported with three or more valid examples eg [Change] <i>Since 1900 people’s lifestyles have become more unhealthy in some ways. For example, they do less physical activity; they travel in vehicles rather than walking and tend to watch sport rather than playing. The jobs that people do now also tend to be more office based with hard physical jobs around the house being done by machinery. Food in some ways has also become unhealthy as people eat more processed and convenience food which isn’t as fresh.</i> [candidates may equally argue for positive change, or a mixture or both] [Cause and consequence] <i>Since 1900 people have become more unhealthy. Technology has been a big reason for this. For example, many physical jobs like farming have been taken over by machinery and household appliances have become more affordable. This means that many tasks are now less physically demanding and people get less exercise. In addition, the invention of the microwave has led to over-reliance on convenience meals, leading to poor nutrition. The invention of the TV means that more pf people’s leisure time is spent on their sofas.</i> Nutshell: Summary based on second order concept(s) with three or more valid supporting examples <i>Other areas to consider: improvements or otherwise in lifestyles relating to: housing; pollution; food and eating habits ; technology – see MS on previous page]</i>
Level 2 (4–6 marks)	Answers at L2 will typically be organised around a second order concept, supported with two valid examples eg [Change] <i>Since 1900 people’s lifestyles have become more unhealthy in some ways. For example, they do less physical activity; they travel in vehicles rather than walking and tend to watch sport rather than playing. Food in some ways has also become unhealthy as people eat more processed and convenience food which isn’t as fresh.</i> Nutshell: Summary based on a second order concept with two valid supporting examples

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 1 (1–3 marks)	Answers at L1 will typically be organised around a second order concept, supported with one valid example eg [Change] <i>Since 1900 people's lifestyles have become more unhealthy in some ways. For example, food has become unhealthy as people eat more processed and convenience food.</i> Nutshell: Summary based on a second order concept with one valid supporting example Alternatively, answers at L1 will list or describe relevant events or developments without organisation eg <i>In the wars there was rationing. Lots of people used cars. In the 1990s there was a BSE scare.</i> Nutshell: List of events / developments with no organising concept.
0 marks	

Question 3–10 marks Why were the authorities slow in reforming public health in Industrial Britain? Explain your answer. Support your answer with examples.	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 5 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 5 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 5 (9–10 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Uses these to show sophisticated understanding of one or more second order concepts in a fully sustained and very well-supported explanation (AO2).	<i>Explanations could consider: laissez-faire policy of government; lack of understanding of what caused disease prior to germ theory in 1861; cost of reform; ruling classes weren't affected immediately</i> <i>Explanations are most likely to show understanding of the second order concept of causation and consequence but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.</i> <i>Answers which simply describe some of the features of Industrial Britain cannot reach beyond Level 1.</i>
Level 4 (7–8 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Uses these to show strong understanding of one or more second order concepts in a sustained and well-supported explanation (AO2).	
Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Uses these to show sound understanding of one or more second order concepts in a generally coherent and organised explanation (AO2).	
Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Uses these to show some understanding of one or more second order concepts in a loosely organised explanation (AO2).	

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Uses these to show some basic understanding of one or more second order concepts, although the overall response may lack structure and coherence (AO2).	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 3–10 marks Why were the authorities slow in reforming public health in Industrial Britain? Explain your answer.	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 5 (9-10 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically identify at least three reasons why reform was slow and explain them fully e.g. <i>There were several reasons why public health reform was slow in industrial Britain. One reason was that disease was not well understood until the after the 1860s with the work of Louis Pasteur. Before this it was believed that disease was carried in smells or miasmas. This meant the actions to reform health could sometimes take the wrong approach such as barrels of acid to clear smells and not focus on important measures such as providing clean water which would have improved health. Another reason was that for much of the industrial period there was a strong belief in the idea of laissez faire. This meant that people believed it was not the responsibility of the government to provide clean water, good housing etc; it was the responsibility of individuals to stay clean and avoid disease. As a result governments were reluctant to take measures to improve health. Finally, no working class people had the vote until 1867 so politicians listened more to richer middle and upper class men who would vote them out of power. Richer people were not as affected by overcrowding and lack of clean water like the poor were.</i> Nutshell: Three or more reasons identified with explanation of how each meant that reform was slow
Level 4 (7-8 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically identify at least two reasons why reform was slow and explain them fully e.g. <i>One reason was that disease was not well understood until the after the 1860s with the work of Louis Pasteur. Before this it was believed that disease was carried in smells or miasmas. This meant the actions to reform health could sometimes take the wrong approach such as barrels of acid to clear smells and not focus on important measures such as providing clean water which would have improved health. Another reason was that for much of the industrial period there was a strong belief in the idea of laissez faire. This meant that people believed it was not the responsibility of the government to provide clean water, good housing etc; it was the responsibility of individuals to stay clean and avoid disease. As a result governments were reluctant to take measures to improve health.</i> Nutshell: Two reasons identified with explanation of how they meant that reform was slow NOTE Answers at L4 will often identify and describe several reasons but only fully explain two of them.
Level 3 (5-6 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically identify and fully explain one reason why reform was slow AND identify/describe another reason(s) without full explanation e.g. <i>One reason was that disease was not well understood until the after the 1860s with the work of Louis Pasteur. Before this it was believed that disease was carried in smells or miasmas. This meant the actions to reform health could sometimes take the wrong approach such as barrels of acid to clear smells and not focus on important measures such as providing clean water which would have improved health. Also, there was a strong belief in laissez-faire which means they didn't think the government should interfere in people's lives.</i> Nutshell: One reason identified with explanation of how it meant that reform was slow PLUS at least one more identified/described

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 2 (3-4 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically identify and fully explain one reason why reform was slow e.g. <i>One reason was that disease was not well understood until the after the 1860s with the work of Louis Pasteur. Before this it was believed that disease was carried in smells or miasmas. This meant the actions to reform health could sometimes take the wrong approach such as barrels of acid to clear smells and not focus on important measures such as providing clean water which would have improved health.</i> Nutshell: One reason identified with explanation of how it meant that reform was slow
Level 1 (1-2 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify/describe reason(s) why reform was slow without full explanation e.g. <i>There was a strong belief in laissez-faire.</i> Nutshell: Identification/description of reason(s) without full explanation Alternatively, L1 answers will contain correct description of conditions at the time or actions of the authorities e.g. <i>The towns were really dirty. Local councils flushed rubbish and waste into the river. In 1875 the Public Health Act was passed.</i> Nutshell: Describes conditions or actions of authorities or other relevant events
0 marks	

Question 4*–18 marks 'The creation of the NHS was the most significant improvement in public health in the twentieth century.' How far do you agree? Give reasons for your answer.	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 6 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 12 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 6 (16–18 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show very secure and thorough understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation and reaching a very well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate knowledge of public health in any period.</i> <i>It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the level description. To reach the top two levels answers must consider other improvements in public health as well as the NHS.</i> <i>Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of similarity and difference and significance but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.</i> <i>Grounds for agreeing include: it was a marked improvement on what had gone before – the comparison between before and after; free medical care for everyone; no distinction between rich and poor; improved life expectancy; immediacy of impact (particularly compared with things like anti-smoking campaigns);</i> <i>Grounds for disagreeing include: Liberal Welfare reforms started the ball rolling; improvements to</i>
Level 5 (13–15 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows very strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and convincing explanation and reaching a well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation to reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.</i>	

Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas and reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.</i>	<i>living conditions, particularly in first half of century made vast improvements; immunisation programme started in 1940; Clean Air Act; healthy living campaigns</i>
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas and reach a loosely supported judgment about the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.</i>	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) but any attempt to explain ideas and reach a judgment on the issue in the question is unclear or lacks historical validity (AO2). <i>The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.</i>	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 4*–18 marks 'The creation of the NHS was the most significant improvement in public health in the twentieth century.' How far do you agree? Give reasons for your answer.	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 6 (16-18 marks)	Level 6 answers will typically set out a balanced argument with each side of the argument explicitly supported by at least two valid examples (or three on one side and one on the other) and a clinching argument e.g. <i>Overall I agree with the statement. First of all the NHS was so significant because it was such a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved life for thousands of people. Another way in which it was significant is the range of services it provided – not just doctors. The NHS gave things such hospital care, family doctors, vaccinations and maternity care to everyone for free. This had had a big impact on increasing life expectancy, particularly in reducing the numbers of women dying after childbirth.</i> <i>On the other hand it could be argued that other developments were more important. For example the introduction of Old Age Pensions in 1909 transformed the lives of thousands of pensioners by giving them a regular income after the age of 70. For many of them they would have had to go to the workhouse if not for pensions. Another factor which could be seen as more significant than the NHS is the government's housebuilding programmes after the First and Second World Wars. These programmes took thousands of people out of unhealthy inner city slums and gave them homes on housing estates with proper heating, ventilation, water and sanitation.</i> <i>Overall, however, I believe that the NHS was the most significant development because of its immediate and comprehensive nature. The pensions were a good first step but the amount paid was quite low, and the housebuilding programmes also had negative consequences such as people living in tower blocks. The sheer number of people and range of services that the NHS provides who would otherwise have not been helped makes it the most significant development.</i> Nutshell Balanced argument, two valid supporting examples each side (or three on one side and one on the other), plus a clinching argument NOTE: It is unlikely but candidates could focus entirely on the NHS i.e. ways in which it was / was not significant.
Level 5 (13-15 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument with each side of the argument explicitly supported by at least two valid examples (or three on one side and one on the other) e.g. <i>[As Level 6 but without clinching argument, or with a summary/assertion instead e.g. Overall, I think the NHS was more of a significant development because it helped so many people who otherwise could not have afforded medical care.]</i>

	Nutshell: Balanced argument with two explained points on each side (or three on one side and one on the other) NOTE: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.
Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by three valid examples e.g. <i>I disagree with the statement because it could be argued that other developments were more important. For example the introduction of Old Age Pensions in 1909 transformed the lives of thousands of pensioners by giving them a regular income after the age of 70. For many of them they would have had to go to the workhouse if not for pensions. Another factor which could be seen as more significant than the NHS is the government's housebuilding programmes after the First and Second World Wars. These programmes took thousands of people out of unhealthy inner city slums and gave them homes on housing estates with proper heating, ventilation, water and sanitation. Alternatively, the Clean Air Act of 1956 was really important. Burning coal led to thick blankets of smog in cities like London. The smog of 1952 killed about 12,000 people in London, The Clean Air Act required factories and homes in specified areas to burn special types of 'smokeless' fuel. Slowly these smokeless zones grew and by the 1980s smog from coal was no longer a problem.</i> Nutshell One sided argument; three explained points of support Alternatively, Level 4 answers will construct a balanced argument with two explained points on one side and one explained point on the other side e.g. <i>Overall I agree with the statement. First of all the NHS was so significant because it was such a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved life for thousands of people. Another way in which it was significant is the range of services it provided – not just doctors. The NHS gave things such hospital care, family doctors, vaccinations and maternity care to everyone for free. This had had a big impact on increasing life expectancy, particularly in reducing the numbers of women dying after childbirth. However, the introduction of Old Age Pensions in 1909 also transformed the lives of thousands of pensioners by giving them a regular income after the age of 70. For many of them they would have had to go to the workhouse if not for pensions.</i> Nutshell: Balanced argument; two explained point on one side and one explained point on the other side.
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by two valid examples e.g. <i>I agree with the statement. First of all the NHS was so significant because it was such a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved life for thousands of people. Another way in which it was significant is the range of services it provided – not just doctors. The NHS gave things such hospital care, family doctors, vaccinations and maternity care to everyone for free. This had had a big impact on increasing life expectancy, particularly in reducing the numbers of women dying after childbirth.</i> Nutshell One sided argument; two explained points of support

	Alternatively, Level 3 answers will construct a balanced argument with each side explicitly supported by one example, e.g. <i>I agree the NHS was so significant because it was such a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved life for thousands of people. However, the introduction of Old Age Pensions in 1909 also transformed the lives of thousands of pensioners by giving them a regular income after the age of 70. For many of them they would have had to go to the workhouse if not for pensions.</i> Nutshell: Balanced argument; one explained point on each side
Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically construct a one-sided argument explicitly supported by one valid example e.g. <i>I agree the NHS was so significant because it was such a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved life for thousands of people.</i> Nutshell: One sided argument; one explained point of support
Level 1 (1-3 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify improvements brought by the NHS OR identify other significant improvements without full explanation, e.g. <i>I agree because the NHS gave people free medical care OR I disagree because actually Old Age Pensions was a more significant improvement.</i> Nutshell: Identification of NHS improvements or other significant improvements without explanation Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe relevant events or make general, unsupported assertions, e.g. <i>The NHS was set up by the Labour government in 1948. / I agree the NHS was the most significant because so many people benefitted.</i> Nutshell: Description of relevant events or developments with no explanation OR general assertions
0 marks	

NOTE: At each level, many candidates will attempt to more explained points, but only fully/successfully explained points should be credited. eg at L2, many answers will attempt a balanced answer but only achieve one valid explanation.

Question 5*–18 marks How far do you agree that living conditions in towns during the Early Modern period (1500-1750) were no better than during the Middle Ages? Give reasons for your answer.	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 6 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 12 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 6 (16–18 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show very secure and thorough understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation and reaching a very well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate any knowledge of public health during these periods. It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the level description. BUT, to achieve the two highest levels, answers must consider both sides of the argument before reaching a conclusion.</i> <i>Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of similarity and difference and causation but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.</i> <i>Grounds for agreeing include: streets were still unpaved and dirty in lots of towns; animal excrement still a problem; overhanging houses made it dark; in towns where some improvements were made, poorer neighbourhoods were not improved; cleanliness of water still a problem; link between dirt and disease still not made</i> <i>Grounds for disagreeing include: towards the end of the period local authorities made big</i>
Level 5 (13–15 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows very strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and convincing explanation and reaching a well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation to reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.</i>	

Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas and reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.</i>	<i>improvements such as paved streets, squares with terraced houses etc although often these changes only benefitted the wealthy; oil burning street lamps were introduced; after the end of the plague epidemics towns were able to concentrate on improving living conditions; by 1750 in London there were several water companies that would pipe water into homes of those who could afford it</i>
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas and reach a loosely supported judgment about the issue in the question (AO2). <i>There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.</i>	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) but any attempt to explain ideas and reach a judgment on the issue in the question is unclear or lacks historical validity (AO2). <i>The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.</i>	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 5*–18 marks How far do you agree that living conditions in towns during the Early Modern period (1500-1750) were no better than during the Middle Ages? Give reasons for your answer.	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 6 (16-18 marks)	Level 6 answers will typically set out an argument which compares the conditions in both periods. Arguments will be explicitly supported by at least two examples of living conditions from each of the two periods (or three from one period and one from the other), plus a clinching argument e.g. <i>Overall I think that the statement is correct. Living conditions in towns in the medieval period were very poor for most people. Waste was a real problem. At the end of a market day, the streets were full of waste from food and animals. Many toilets had no lining and excrement leaked into other houses' cellars. Another problem was the lack of a clean water supply. No-one had pipes to bring clean water to their house and some gongfarmers simply emptied waste into the stream so living conditions were very unhealthy and disease was common.</i> <i>There were some improvements in the early modern period. By the 1700s, towns were improving. For example, many towns also introduced oil-burning lamps on the streets and footways for pedestrians. There was some better quality terraced housing and some streets were paved with stone. By 1750 in London there were several water companies that would pipe water into homes of those who could afford it.</i> <i>Overall, even though there were some improvements in the 1700s this was only the end of the period and many were only superficial changes such as lighting. The changes which were more substantial like clean water only affected the wealthy, so I would say that for the majority of people and most of the period the statement is correct.</i> Nutshell Valid comparison of periods; two explained points each period (or three from one period and one from the other) plus a clinching argument NOTE: The 2 different periods must be covered but it would be possible for candidates to agree/disagree entirely with the statement (eg 2 points on poor conditions in medieval towns plus 2 points on continuation of poor conditions in EM towns)
Level 5 (13-15 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out an argument which compares the two periods, supported by at least two examples of living conditions from each of the two periods (or three from one period and one from the other) e.g. <i>[As Level 6 but without clinching argument, or with a summary/assertion instead eg Overall, I think that the statement is true because there were still lots of problems which remained in the Early Modern period and not much had changed at all.]</i> Nutshell: Valid comparison of periods; two explained points for each period (or three from one period and one from the other) NOTE: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.

Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically set out an argument based on living conditions in only one period, supported by three examples of living conditions in that period, e.g. <i>I don't agree because there were some improvements in the early modern period. In the 1500s, towns like York were already makes efforts to clean up the town – for example, they fined people for throwing urine and excrement into the street at night. By the 1700s, towns were improving more. For example, many towns introduced oil-burning lamps on the streets and footways for pedestrians. There was some better quality terraced housing and some streets were paved with stone. By 1750 in London there were several water companies that would pipe water into homes of those who could afford it.</i> Nutshell One period explained, supported by three examples Alternatively, Level 4 answers will typically set out an argument based on living conditions in both periods, supported by two examples of living conditions in one period and one example from the other period, e.g. <i>I think the statement is correct. Living conditions in towns in the medieval period were very poor for most people. Waste was a real problem. At the end of a market day, the streets were full of waste from food and animals. Many toilets had no lining and excrement leaked into other houses' cellars. Another problem was the lack of a clean water supply. No-one had pipes to bring clean water to their house and some gongfarmers simply emptied waste into the stream so living conditions were very unhealthy and disease was common. However, there were some improvements in the early modern period. By the 1700s, towns were improving. For example, many towns also introduced oil-burning lamps on the streets and footways for pedestrians.</i> Nutshell: Both periods explained, supported by two examples from one period and one from the other period
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically set out an argument based on living conditions in only one period, supported by two examples of living conditions in that period eg <i>I think the statement is correct. Living conditions in towns in the medieval period were very poor for most people. Waste was a real problem. At the end of a market day, the streets were full of waste from food and animals. Many toilets had no lining and excrement leaked into other houses' cellars. Another problem was the lack of a clean water supply. No-one had pipes to bring clean water to their house and some gongfarmers simply emptied waste into the stream so living conditions were very unhealthy and disease was common</i> Nutshell: One period explained, supported by two examples Alternatively, Level 3 answers will set out an argument based on living conditions in both periods, supported by one example from each period, e.g. <i>I think the statement is correct. Living conditions in towns in the medieval period were very poor for most people. Waste was a real problem. At the end of a market day, the streets were full of waste from food and animals. Many toilets had no lining and excrement leaked into other houses' cellars. However, there were some improvements in the early modern period. By the 1700s, towns were improving. For example, many towns also introduced oil-burning lamps on the streets and footways for pedestrians.</i> Nutshell: Both period explained, supported by one example from each period

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically set out an argument based on living conditions in only one period, supported by one examples of living conditions in that period eg <i>I think the statement is correct. Living conditions in towns in the medieval period were very poor for most people. Waste was a real problem. At the end of a market day, the streets were full of waste from food and animals. Many toilets had no lining and excrement leaked into other houses' cellars.</i> Nutshell: One period explained, supported by one example
Level 1 (1-3 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically describe living conditions in one or both periods without full explanation, e.g. <i>I agree because people still didn't have clean water in 1750.</i> Nutshell: Identification of living conditions without explanation Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe relevant events or make general, unsupported assertions,, e.g. <i>In the medieval period there was the problem of plague in towns./ I agree because the level of hygiene was just the same in both periods.</i> Nutshell: Description of relevant events or developments with no explanation OR general assertions
0 marks	

NOTE: At each level, many candidates will attempt to more explained points, but only fully/successfully explained points should be credited. eg at L2, many answers will attempt a balanced answer but only achieve one valid explanation.

Section B: Britain in Peace and War, 1900–1918

Question 6a – 3 marks

In Interpretation A, the TV presenter and historian Dan Snow argues that David Lloyd George was a significant British politician. Identify and explain one way in which he does this.

Notes and guidance specific to the question set

Points marking (AO4): 1+1+1. 1 mark for identification of a relevant and appropriate way in which Snow argues that Lloyd George was a significant British politician + 1 mark for a basic explanation of this + 1 mark for development of this explanation.

Reminder – This question does not seek evaluation of the given interpretation, just selection of relevant material and analysis of this in relation to the issue in the question.

The explanation of how the Dan Snow argues that David Lloyd George was an important British politician may analyse the interpretation or aspects of the interpretation by using the candidate's knowledge of the historical situation portrayed and / or to the method or approach used by the historian. Knowledge and understanding of historical context must be intrinsically linked to the analysis of the interpretation in order to be credited. Marks must not be awarded for the demonstration of knowledge or understanding in isolation.

The following answers are indicative. Other appropriate ways and appropriate and accurate explanation should also be credited:

For example:

- *Snow shows that David Lloyd George is a famous and respected figure (1). He says that he has had a statue made of him which is in 'pride of place' in the House of Commons. (1) This makes it seem like lots of people have recognised the contributions that he made. (1)*
- *Snow uses language and choice of words to emphasise how important David Lloyd George was. (1) He says he was 'dynamic' and 'remarkable' and calls his career 'extraordinary' (1). This makes Lloyd George seem like an exceptional politician who made shook up the world of politics (1).*
- *Snow emphasises the changes Lloyd George brought to Britain. (1) He says that Old Age Pensions and National Insurance 'laid the foundations of the modern welfare state.' (1) This makes the work of Lloyd George sound revolutionary and ahead of its time.*

Question 6b – 5 marks If you were asked to do further research on one aspect of Interpretation A, what would you choose to investigate? Explain how this would help us to analyse and understand politics in Britain between 1900 and 1914.	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 2 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 3 marks Please note that that while the weightings of AO1 to AO2 are equal in levels 1 and 2, AO2 carries greater weight in level 3.	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 3 (5 marks) The response shows knowledge and understanding of relevant key features and characteristics (AO1). It uses a strong understanding of second order historical concept(s) to explain clearly how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	<i>Answers may choose to put forward lines of investigation by framing specific enquiry questions but it is possible to achieve full marks without doing this.</i> <i>Suggested lines of enquiry / areas for research may be into matters of specific detail or into broader themes but must involve use of second order concepts rather than mere discovery of new information if AO2 marks are to be awarded.</i> <i>Examples of areas for further research include: reasons for Lloyd George / the Liberal Party introducing reform (causation); reasons for the Lords or other political parties opposing the reforms (causation); impact of Liberal reforms on poverty / people's lives (consequence/ change and continuity); comparison of impact of reforms on different groups of people (eg children, pensioners, the unemployed, etc.) (diversity, ie similarity & difference); how much support among different sections of the population there was for the Liberal Party and/or the reforms (diversity); how far David Lloyd George was responsible for the Liberal reforms (significance); how much impact the Parliament Act had on the power of the House of Lords (significance, change, consequence).</i>
Level 2 (3–4 marks) The response shows knowledge and understanding of relevant key features and characteristics (AO1). It uses a general understanding of second order historical concept(s) to explain how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	
Level 1 (1–2 mark) The response shows knowledge of features and characteristics (AO1). It shows a basic understanding of second order historical concept(s) and attempts to link these to explanation of how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 7–12 marks Interpretations B and C both focus on women’s campaign for the vote. How far do they differ and what might explain any differences?	
Levels AO4 Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations (including how and why interpretations may differ) in the context of historical events studied. Maximum 12 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers a very detailed analysis of similarities and/or differences between the interpretations and gives a convincing and valid explanation of reasons why they may differ. There is a convincing and well-substantiated judgment of how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	<i>Answers could consider:</i> • <i>Comparison of provenance and source type alone, eg B is from 2016, C from 2003; B is from an online magazine, C is from a history book.</i> • <i>Individual points of similarity/difference in content: Both sources agree on militancy of Suffragettes; B implies history of Suffragettes is not well-known whereas C says they have been given too much attention; B focuses on Suffragettes while C focuses on Suffragists.</i> • <i>Differences in the overall significance attributed to or portrayal of the Suffragettes and/or Suffragists: B dismisses the Suffragists’ work (‘peaceful protests’), saying it ‘achieved nothing. By contrast, C attached great importance to the work of the Suffragists, saying this ‘mass movement’ made ‘massive strides’ for the campaign and was the ‘central explanation’ for why the suffrage movement was eventually successful. B attributes greater significance to the role of the Suffragettes, saying their story was ‘important’ to history and implying that civil disobedience achieved more than peaceful protest. C focusses exclusively on the Suffragettes as subjects for the film and portrays them as heroines/martyrs. C however claims that the WSPU had failed by 1914 and that they were actually ‘in decline’.</i> • <i>Developed reasons for differences – nature/purpose eg B is trying to promote the film and make it sound different and controversial; Gavron even says there is ‘a lot of action’.</i>
Level 3 (7–9 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers a detailed analysis of similarities and/or differences between the interpretations and gives a valid explanation of reasons why they may differ. There is a generally valid and clear judgment about how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers some valid analysis of differences and/or similarities between the interpretations and gives a reasonable explanation of at least one reason why they may differ, and a basic judgement about how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to	

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

the task. Identifies some differences and/or similarities between the interpretations and makes a limited attempt to explain why they may differ. There is either no attempt to assess how far they differ, or there is an assertion about this but it is completely unsupported (AO4).	<i>Popular history is more likely to focus on the role of extreme groups, even if they were less important. C meanwhile is written by a 'Revisionist' historian who has written this book specifically to try to overturn the popular perception that the Suffragettes were most important in getting women the vote.</i>
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	<i>Marks for relevant knowledge and understanding should be awarded for the clarity and confidence with which candidates discuss features, events or issues mentioned or implied in the interpretations. Candidates who introduce extra relevant knowledge or show understanding of related historical issues can be rewarded for this, but it is not a target of the question.</i> <i>No reward can be given for wider knowledge of the period that is unrelated to the topic in the question.</i>

Question 7–12 marks	
Interpretations B and C both focus on women's campaign for the vote. How far do they differ and what might explain any differences?	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Answers at L4 will typically compare the overall portrayal of Suffragettes and support this with relevant reference to the content of the interpretations. They will use the purpose/audience of one or both of the interpretations to explain reasons for different portrayals, Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals in B and C, with support. Difference explained with specific purpose of B or C NOTE: Award 10-11 marks for candidates who use the purpose of <u>one</u> interpretation to explain difference in portrayals. Award 12 marks for candidates which use the purpose of <u>both</u> interpretations to explain difference in portrayals.
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Answers at L3 will typically compare the message/overall portrayal of Suffragettes and support this with relevant reference to the content of the interpretations. Answers at this level may attempt to explain differences using undeveloped comments about provenance Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals in B and C with support from one or both interpretations. NOTE: Answers with support from only one interpretation award 7 marks
Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Answers at L2 will typically use the content of the interpretations to compare individual points of similarity and/or difference Nutshell: Selects individual points of similarity or difference OR Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals with no support
Level 1 (1–3 marks)	Answers at L1 will typically make simplistic comments about provenance Nutshell: Comparison of simplistic provenance Nutshell: Portrayal of suffragettes in one interpretation explained with no valid comparison
0 marks	

Question 8*–20 marks In his 2015 textbook, <i>The Experience of Warfare in Britain: Crimea, Boer and the First World War 1854–1929</i>, historian Alan Farmer argued that, during the First World War ‘most British people were solidly patriotic and committed to victory’. <i>How far do you agree with this view?</i>	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 5 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 5 marks AO4 Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations in the context of historical events studied. Maximum 10 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 5 (17–20 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing evaluation reaching a well-substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate any knowledge of responses to the demands of total war. It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the Level description. To reach Levels 4 and 5, this must involve considering the use of the phrases ‘most and/or ‘solidly’ in the interpretation.</i>
Level 4 (13–16 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained and generally convincing evaluation reaching a substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of diversity (similarity / difference in responses to war and/or support for war from various groups of people) and change/continuity (how support for war changed between 1914 and 1918) but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.</i> <i>Grounds for agreeing include: 750,000</i>

Level 3 (9–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a partial evaluation with some explanation of ideas reaching a supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.</i>	<i>volunteers for army within first 8 weeks; only a tiny proportion (around 16,000 out of 8 million) of eligible men refused to fight after 1916; the press censored themselves and stayed in solid support of the war; few people publicly criticised the war and those that did (eg George Bernard Shaw) were generally viewed as traitors; socialists and pacifists received little sympathy from public; Ramsay Macdonald forced to resign as Labour leader because he did not support the war; conscientious objectors treated as shirkers; even towards the end of the war, most of those who questioned the war's leadership were still in favour of fighting for a victory; NUWSS and WSPU suspended campaigns; hundreds of thousands of women joined VAD, WLA and other organisations or took on men's jobs.</i>
Level 2 (5–8 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Attempts a basic evaluation with some limited explanation of ideas and a loosely supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.</i>	
Level 1 (1–4 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) involved in the issue (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. (AO4) There is either no attempt to evaluate and reach a judgment about the interpretation, or there is an assertion about the interpretation but this lacks any support or historical validity. <i>The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.</i>	<i>Grounds for disagreeing include: Conscription had to be introduced in 1916; socialists and pacifists opposed the war; Bernard Shaw's anti-war pamphlet sold 25,000 copies; the mood in Britain changed between 1914 and 1918 from enthusiasm to grim determination; Battle of the Somme was a turning point and government faced serious criticism as people questioned the way the war was fought; food riots in east London in March 1917; voluntary rationing was unsuccessful and compulsory rationing introduced; Lloyd George's criticism of 'business as usual'; coal strike 1915.</i>
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 8*–20 marks In his 2015 textbook, <i>The Experience of Warfare in Britain: Crimea, Boer and the First World War 1854–1929</i>, historian Alan Farmer argued that, during the First World War ‘most British people were solidly patriotic and committed to victory’. How far do you agree with this view?	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 5 (17–20 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 20 marks, candidates must present a valid clinching argument Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples each side <u>OR</u> three on one side and one on the other. Clinching argument = 20 marks
Level 4 (13–16 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically construct a balanced or one-sided answer explicitly supported by at least three valid examples Nutshell: Balanced or one-sided argument; three explained points of support NOTE 1: Answers at L4 may attempt more than three points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for three. NOTE 2: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.
Level 3 (9–12 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by two valid examples Nutshell: One sided argument, two explained points of support Alternatively, Level 3 answers will construct a balanced argument with each side explicitly supported by one example, Nutshell: Balanced argument; one explained point on each side NOTE: Answers at L3 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 2 (5-8 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically construct a one-sided argument explicitly supported by one valid example, Nutshell: One sided argument; one explained point of support NOTE: Answers at L2 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one
Level 1 (1-4 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify areas of agreement and/or disagreement without full explanation, Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) without explanation Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe events OR make general, unsupported assertions Nutshell: Description of actions or related events without addressing the question OR general, unsupported assertions.
0 marks	

Question 9*–20 marks In his 1949 memoir, <i>The House is Gone: A Personal Retrospect</i>, journalist and poet Dudley Carew argued that the Edwardian era was a ‘golden era of prosperity.’ How far do you agree with this view of Britain between 1900 and 1914?	
Levels AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 5 marks AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 5 marks AO4 Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations in the context of historical events studied. Maximum 10 marks	Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Level 5 (17–20 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing evaluation reaching a well-substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate any knowledge of tensions in Edwardian society.</i> <i>It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the Level description.</i> <i>Answers are most likely to show understanding of diversity (similarity/difference between different groups, eg rich and poor) and change and continuity (how experiences changed or stayed the same across the period) but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.</i>
Level 4 (13–16 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained and generally convincing evaluation reaching a substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.</i>	<i>Grounds for agreeing include: British trade and industry made Britain world’s richest country; British Empire offered markets / opportunities for British businesses; Britain’s military strength; developments in technology; lavish lifestyles for some people (upper classes); wide range of leisure activities for middle classes; working class wages were higher than they had</i>

Level 3 (9–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a partial evaluation with some explanation of ideas reaching a supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.</i>	<i>been in the 19th century and some workers could afford luxuries; many working-class families lived in better housing as local councils built good-quality streets and new terraced houses with flushing toilets; many workers had Saturday afternoons off and went to watch football and cricket; there were four bank holidays in the year and lots of factories closed for a week in the summer – many families went to British seaside resorts; the Liberal government had brought in series of welfare reforms after 1906 to improve the lives of the poor and elderly – by 1914 there were things like Free School Meals, National Insurance and Old Age Pensions.</i> <i>Grounds for disagreeing include: Competition in trade and industry from Germany and the USA; concerns about Britain's army compared to Germany's; low wages for unskilled labourers; lack of unemployment/welfare system in 1900; Rowntree's investigations had revealed 28% York's population lived below the poverty line; poorer working class families continued to live in overcrowded and insanitary slums; only 66% of working class babies lived beyond the age of 1; danger and lack of regulation in some industries, eg Sweated Trades; lives of women could be boring and repetitive; women were paid less than men; women didn't have the vote; there was little social mobility for working classes.</i>
Level 2 (5–8 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Attempts a basic evaluation with some limited explanation of ideas and a loosely supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4). <i>There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.</i>	
Level 1 (1–4 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) involved in the issue (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. (AO4) There is either no attempt to evaluate and reach a judgment about the interpretation, or there is an assertion about the interpretation but this lacks any support or historical validity. <i>The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.</i>	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 9*–20 marks In his 1949 memoir, <i>The House is Gone: A Personal Retrospect</i>, journalist and poet Dudley Carew argued that the Edwardian era was a ‘golden era of prosperity.’ How far do you agree with this view of Britain between 1900 and 1914?	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 5 (17–20 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 20 marks, candidates must present a valid clinching argument Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples each side <u>OR</u> three on one side and one on the other. Clinching argument = 20 marks
Level 4 (13–16 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically construct a balanced or one-sided answer explicitly supported by at least three valid examples Nutshell: Balanced or one-sided argument; three explained points of support NOTE 1: Answers at L4 may attempt more than three points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for three. NOTE 2: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.
Level 3 (9–12 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by two valid examples Nutshell: One sided argument, two explained points of support Alternatively, Level 3 answers will construct a balanced argument with each side explicitly supported by one example, Nutshell: Balanced argument; one explained point on each side NOTE: Answers at L3 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two
Level 2 (5–8 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically construct a one-sided argument explicitly supported by one valid example, Nutshell: One sided argument; one explained point of support NOTE: Answers at L2 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one

J411/13

Mark Scheme

June 2018

Level 1 (1-4 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify areas of agreement and/or disagreement without full explanation, .Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) without explanation Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe events OR make general, unsupported assertions Nutshell: Description of actions or related events without addressing the question OR general, unsupported assertions.
0 marks	

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2018

